	Case 2:22-cv-00585-WBS-JDP Docum	nent 42 Filed 06/24/24 Page 1 of 6
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DALE L. ALLEN, JR., State Bar No. 145279 dallen@aghwlaw.com AMEET D. PATEL, State Bar No. 343413 apatel@aghwlaw.com ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 697-2000 Facsimile: (415) 813-2045 Attorney for Defendant CITY OF REDDING; GARRETT MAXWELL AND MATTHEW BRUCE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VERONICA MCLEOD, individually and as successor in interest to decedent, DOLORES HERNANDEZ; AMADO HERNANADEZ; individually and as successor in interest to decedent, DOLORES HERNANDEZ; and YSIDRA REGALDO, individually, Plaintiff, v. CITY OF REDDING; GARRETT MAXWELL, an individual; MATTHEW BRUCE, an individual; and DOES 2-10, inclusive, Defendants.	Case No. 2:22-cv-00585-WBS-JDP DEFENDANTS' PRETRIAL STATEMENT PURSUANT TO LR 281 Hon. William B. Shubb Pretrial Conference: July 1, 2024 1:30 p.m.
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	TO THE HONORABLE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 1. STATEMENT OF THE CASE Defendants join with plaintiffs statement. 2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
28		DEFENDANTS' PRETRIAL STATEMEN'

3. JURY TRIAL

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendants demanded a jury trial.

4. <u>UNDISPUTED FACTS</u>

Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.

In addition, Defendants propose the following undisputed facts.

- 1. Plaintiff was reported to be the cause of a disturbance, and Officers Maxwell and Bruce responded to the incident.
- 2. Officers Bruce and Corporal Maxwell arrived in marked police vehicles and were in the full uniforms of the Redding police department.
- 3. The parking lot where the incident occurred between decedent and the officers was fully lit.
- 4. The officers were directed to decedent by a witness, Jason Schuler.
- 5. Officer Bruce first contacted decedent as she was sitting in her parked car a few feet from Jason Shuler, as Corporal Maxwell spoke with the witness Jason Shuler.
- 6. A witness, Melody Graham, began to film the interactions between officer Bruce and decedent, on her cell phone.
- 7. As decedent was maneuvering her car from a parking space, she struck Officer Bruce causing him to fall and plaintiff drove her car onto his leg.
- 8. The officers had no knowledge of plaintiff's mental health history.
- 9. The officers were trained to the standards of the Peace Officers Standard and Training requirements, and of the Redding Police Department at the time of the incident.

5. **DISPUTED FACTUAL ISSUES**

Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.

6. <u>DISPUTED EVIDENTIARY ISSUES</u>

Defendants join with plaintiffs' statement and agree there are disputed evidentiary issues that will be addressed in motions in limine. Specifically the admissibility of prior incidents where decedent cause disturbances requiring police interaction and acted aggressively against police officers in the manner she drove her car; a motion in limine to limit testimony of plaintiffs expert

1 Scott Defoe; exclude testimony of decedent mental health issues; limit testimony re prior or post 2 incident shootings by defendant officers; reserve on additional motions as necessary from trial 3 preparation. 4 7. SPECIAL FACTUAL INFORMATION 5 Defendants join with plaintiffs statement. 6 8. RELIEF SOUGHT 7 Defendants join with plaintiffs statement. 8 9. POINTS OF LAW 9 Defendants assert they acted appropriately at all times to the law. 10 Defendants join with plaintiff's statement to the extent it is an accurate recitation of what 11 plaintiffs must do to meet their burden of proof for the allegations of an Unreasonable Detention 12 and Arrest, Battery and Negliengence, and the Bane Act. 13 10. ABANDONED AND PREVIOUSLY DECIDED ISSUES 14 Defendants join with plaintiffs statement. 15 11. REMAINING CLAIMS 16 Defendants join with plaintiffs statement. 17 12. WITNESS LIST 18 1. Melody Graham

Case 2:22-cv-00585-WBS-JDP Document 42 Filed 06/24/24 Page 3 of 6

- 19 2. Rick Plummer
- 20 3. Jaason Schuler
- 21 4. Aiden Phillips
- 5. Richard Bell
- 6. Ryan Hoberg
- 7. Jennifer Hoberg
- 25 8. Kyle Cornwall
- 26 9. Sara Shields
- 27 10. Christopher Poulos
- 28 11. Rajiv Kelkar

12. Steve Papenfuhs	
13. Zack Lemire	
14. Sgt. Matt Purcell	
15. Detective C. Doble	
16. Officer Adam Thornton	
17. Officer K. Schukei	
18. Sgt. Hatfield	
19. Officer Zavala	
20. Officer Cornwell	
21. Officer Cheryl Sheppard	
22. Officer Amy Rubalcaba	
Defendants reserve on calling any witnesses listed in plaintiffs' statement.	
13. EXHIBIT LISTS	
Defendants adopt plaintiffs exhibit list. In addition, defendants may offer as exhibits the	
following;	
1. Responses to Plaintiffs RFP #1, Bates 380 to Bates 2960 not otherwise identified in	
the plaintiff exhibit list.	
2. Bates 2961, 3D illustration of scene prepared by investigation agencies.	
3. Bates 2962-299 supplemental responses to plaintiff's RFP #1 not otherwise identified	
in plaintiff's exhibit list.	
4. Bates 2995 – 3003 Officer Bruce training file	
5. Bates 3004 - Ryan Hoberg video interview	
6. Bates 3005-3018 Sutter S.O. reports involving decedent.	
7. Bates 3041-3048 Yuba City police reports involving decedent.	
8. Bates 3049-3056 Colusa S.O. reports involving decedent.	
9. Bates 3057-3119 Officer Bruce Medical records.	
14. <u>DISCOVERY DOCUMENTS TO BE OFFERED AT TRIAL</u>	
Defendants may make a motion to the court to offer witness testimony by deposition or	

Case 2:22-cv-00585-WBS-JDP Document 42 Filed 06/24/24 Page 4 of 6

1	video if witnesses should be unavailable after subpoena.	
2	15. NON-DISCOVERY MOTIONS	
3	Defendants join with plaintiffs' statement.	
4	16. <u>FURTHER DISCOVERY OR MOTIONS</u>	
5	None	
6	17. <u>STIPULATIONS</u>	
7	Defendants stipulate to the undisputed facts proposed by plaintiff. Defendants will meet	
8	and confer with plaintiffs to determine what other undisputed facts may be stipulated to before	
9	trial.	
10	18. <u>AMENDMENTS – DISMISSALS</u>	
11	N/A	
12	19. <u>SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS</u>	
13	Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
14	20. AGREED STATEMENTS	
15	Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
16	21. <u>SEPARATE TRIAL OF ISSUES</u>	
17	Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
18	22. IMPARTIAL EXPERTS – LIMITATIONS OF EXPERTS	
19	Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
20	23. <u>ATTORNEYS' FEES</u>	
21	Defendants reserve the right to file a motion for attorney fees if successful in trial.	
22	24. TRIAL EXHIBITS	
23	Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
24	25. TRIAL PROTECTIVE ORDER	
25	Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
26	26. ESTIMATED TRIAL DAYS	
27	Defendants join with plaintiffs statement.	
28	///	
	5 DEFENDANTS' PRETRIAL STATEMENT	

Case 2:22-cv-00585-WBS-JDP Document 42 Filed 06/24/24 Page 5 of 6

Case 2:22-cv-00585-WBS-JDP Document 42 Filed 06/24/24 Page 6 of 6 27. MISCELLANEOUS Defendants join with plaintiffs statement. Respectfully submitted, Dated: June 24, 2024 ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP By: /s/ Dale L. Allen DALE L. ALLEN, JR AMEET D. PATEL Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF REDDING; GARRETT MAXWELL AND MATTHEW BRUCE DEFENDANTS' PRETRIAL STATEMENT